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Abstract: The American Medical Association House of Delegates in June of 2012 adopted a policy statement on nighttime lighting and human health. This major policy statement summarizes the scientific evidence that nighttime electric light can disrupt circadian rhythms in humans and documents the rapidly advancing understanding from basic science of how disruption of circadian rhythmicity affects aspects of physiology with direct links to human health, such as cell cycle regulation, DNA damage response, and metabolism. The human evidence is also accumulating, with the strongest epidemiologic support for a link of circadian disruption from light at night to breast cancer. There are practical implications of the basic and epidemiologic science in the form of advancing lighting technologies that better accommodate human circadian rhythmicity.

Electric Lighting in the Modern World

The American Medical Association (AMA) House of Delegates in June of 2012 adopted a policy statement on nighttime lighting and human health. The Executive Summary states:

Biological adaptation to the sun has evolved over billions of years. The power to artificially override the natural cycle of light and dark is a recent event and represents a man-made self-experiment on the effects of exposure to increasingly bright light during the night as human societies acquire technology and expand industry.

Circadian Rhythms

Circadian biology is the study of daily rhythms in physiology, metabolism, and behavior. These daily rhythms are endogenous—spontaneously generated by a pacemaker in the suprachiasmatic nuclei—and expressed as such in that if a person is put into a constant dark environment with no other time cues, that person will exhibit approximately 24-hour rhythms in sleep/wake, food intake, body temperature, melatonin production, and a vast array of other physiologic and metabolic parameters for the rest of their natural lives. The endogenous rhythm is slightly longer than 24 hours in most people, and must therefore be able to be reset by exposure to the solar cycle of light and dark to remain at precisely 24 hours. Exposure of the eyes to bright light during the night, which was extremely uncommon before electricity, can disrupt this endogenous rhythmicity.

For a long time, circadian rhythmicity was viewed as an interesting phenomenon but without any important implications for human health. In the past decade, that view has changed dramatically. It is now apparent that circadian rhythmicity is central to the biology of virtually all organisms on the planet. This is not surprising given the primordial dominance of the 24-hour solar cycle over life on Earth.

The Executive Summary of the AMA report continues:

The primary human concerns with nighttime lighting include ... potential carcinogenic effects related to melatonin suppression, especially breast cancer. Other diseases that may be exacerbated by circadian disruption include obesity, diabetes, depression and mood disorders, and reproductive problems.

These may seem to be bold statements by the AMA, but they are not without substantial scientific support that has been building for several decades. Exposure to bright light after sunset is an entirely unnatural occurrence that confuses our internal regulation and how we interact with the external environment. Light exposure at
night triggers daytime biology, disrupting sleep, hormone regulation, and metabolism, and abolishes the darkness essential for regulating our circadian clock.

Pertinent to understanding human health risks are the remarkable new scientific advances that have been made in understanding how our endogenous circadian system detects light (blue light is the most disruptive at night, red the least), and how the small number of circadian clock genes have a direct impact on a large proportion of our entire genome. It is becoming increasingly evident that circadian rhythmicity is crucial to overall health, including control of metabolism (how we process the food we eat); DNA damage response (how we are protected from radiation and toxic chemicals); and hormone production and cell cycle regulation (both affecting how we grow and develop and how our tissues are kept functional).

Definition of “Circadian Disruption”
A definition of “circadian disruption” is important for exposure assessment in the conduct of epidemiologic studies. But what is meant by circadian disruption? The definition is evolving, but in the present context it means a perturbation of the endogenous circadian rhythmicity, particularly by electric light exposure of the eyes during the night. Circadian rhythmicity includes both phase and amplitude characteristics of biologic markers that exhibit an endogenous, approximately 24-hour rhythm, such as circulating melatonin, circadian gene expression, and sleep. The term circadian disruption includes disturbances such as phase shifts of the entire circadian system, the displacement of sleep relative to the circadian clock, and/or the acute suppression of nocturnal melatonin production whether or not a phase shift also occurs. All these disruptions can be elicited by ocular light exposure at night.

Light Exposure at Night and Circadian Disruption
Melatonin is the biochemical signal of darkness—it is only produced during the night, regardless of whether an organism is day-active or night-active—and is found in some form in most eukaryotes. In 1980, the seminal observation was published that electric light during the night suppresses melatonin production in humans; melatonin is a marker of circadian rhythmicity and also has important effects on it. Prior to that time, it was thought that light had little or no effect on melatonin production in humans unlike other mammals that had been examined. The light used in these experiments on six volunteers was bright, so it was concluded by many that only bright light could have any effect. Since then, however, abundant evidence has demonstrated that, depending on the exposure parameters, dim light can also inhibit melatonin synthesis.

In fact, there is now a range of observations on light at night in humans that show (1) bright light suppresses melatonin production in all sighted people so far tested; (2) there is a dose response in that, the brighter the light, the greater the suppression of melatonin; (3) shorter wavelengths (e.g., blue) are more effective than longer wavelengths (e.g., red) for regulating neuroendocrine, circadian, and neurobehavioral responses in humans; and (4) there are differences among people in sensitivity to light exposure at night. The physiology by which the circadian system perceives light is one of the most intriguing discoveries of modern biology; although the retina is required, an entirely new type of photoreceptor, the melanopsin-containing ganglion cell, is central to the process.

Recent research in human volunteers has focused on real-world and practical consequences of exposure to light at night on circadian rhythmicity and health. Studies have now demonstrated that the lighting levels typically found in the home in the evening, or emitted from computer screens and electronic tablets, can suppress melatonin secretion and affect alertness and cognitive performance.

The impact of light on sleep is also of concern, with both sleep disruption and circadian disruption contributing to metabolic disorders and other health problems in humans. Their relative impacts have not yet been disentangled. This distinction is important because although darkness at night is required for preservation of melatonin production and maintenance of circadian rhythmicity, sleep is not. It may be normal to awake for a period during the middle of the night, but as long as one remains in the dark, this will not, by itself, cause circadian disruption.

Therefore, disruption of circadian rhythmicity and sleep from the indiscriminate use of electric light at night may well increase risk of many of the diseases of modern life, including not only certain cancers but also obesity, diabetes, and psychiatric disorders. There is also emerging evidence that lighting, sleep, and circadian disruption may affect prognosis in the treatment and therapy setting.

The Animal Model
For investigation of hazards to human health, intervention trials are obviously unethical, and so observational epidemiology is the only viable method for accumulating evidence directly on humans with which to eventually make a determination of causality. That evidence has been accumulating, indicating a connection of light at
night and circadian disruption to breast cancer risk and to a lesser extent other cancers and other chronic diseases.\(^1\)

Another important component of the evidence base for determinations of causality is an animal model. The model conceived and utilized by Blask and colleagues\(^19\) is as close as ethically possible to a direct test of the impact of light exposure at night on growth of breast cancer in women. A human breast cancer xenograft was grown in a nude rat; this tumor was then perfused with blood taken from young women at night either in the dark or after exposure to light. The effects of these blood samples, taken in dark or after light exposure, were dramatically different; the sample taken during dark virtually stopped the growth of the human tumor, whereas the sample taken after light exposure did not slow the tumor growth at all. This remarkable experimental model has far-ranging application and could be utilized for investigation of a vast array of other exposures, both chemical and physical, on growth of human cancers.

**Genetics and Epigenetics**

Only recently has research begun on the potential role of polymorphisms in circadian genes in disease etiology, particularly cancer.\(^20\) This work may, or may not, lead to some therapeutic or screening benefits in the future. More pertinent to the general population, however, is the possibility that environmental exposures to circadian disruptors, particularly light at night, might alter expression of circadian genes by, for example, changes in promoter methylation. The first such report\(^21\) was of promoter hypomethylation of \(CLOCK\), and hypermethylation of \(CRY2\) in night-working women compared to day workers based on a small sample of subjects. This area deserves expansion and emphasis.

**Recommendations**

There are specific recommendations that come from the emerging recognition of the importance of maintaining robust circadian rhythmicity in our daily lives.

The conclusion of the Executive Summary\(^1\) is as follows:

Due to the nearly ubiquitous exposure to light at inappropriate times relative to endogenous circadian rhythms, a need exists for further multidisciplinary research on occupational and environmental exposure to light–at–night, the risk of cancer, and effects on various chronic diseases.

The AMA goes a step further in the report’s recommendations and, based on existing evidence, recognizes that exposure to excessive light at night, including extended use of various electronic media, can disrupt sleep or exacerbate sleep disorders, especially in children and adolescents. This effect can be minimized by using dim red lighting in the nighttime bedroom environment.

The AMA also supports the need for developing lighting technologies at home and at work that minimize circadian disruption, while maintaining visual efficiency.

In 2007, the International Agency for Research on Cancer concluded that "shiftwork that involves circadian disruption is probably carcinogenic to humans (Group 2A)."\(^22\) Studies of shift work and breast cancer conducted since that time generally support and extend this finding.\(^23\) Elevated breast cancer risk in shift-working women was the first prediction of the Light-at-Night theory to be extensively tested.\(^16\)

But it is also clear that not all shift-work systems and schedules are equally disruptive to circadian rhythmicity and health.\(^24\) This finding offers the opportunity for intervention and mitigation of the adverse health effects of circadian disruption by optimizing shift systems, and by lighting the workplace in a way that minimizes circadian disruption throughout the shift schedule. In modern societies, day workers are also exposed to ample electric lighting during the night (dusk to dawn), and this too can disrupt circadian rhythmicity in hormone secretion and in clock gene function. Therefore, there are many opportunities for reducing light during the night that will improve general well-being whether or not a scientific consensus eventually emerges that this would also lower cancer risk.

Now, the AMA has taken this issue an important step further. Given the large and expanding use of electric light throughout the world, its potential impact on human circadian rhythmicity, and the central role played by circadian physiology in health and well-being, these concerns should be addressed through both a reduction in use of unnecessary lighting and technology solutions to ensure that we can use lighting that optimizes visual performance while minimizing circadian disruption. The impact of altered lighting on health may be especially important to children, both because they are growing rapidly and because of all the new light-emitting electronic devices available today.\(^25\) The time has come for this body of scientific evidence on electric light effects on circadian rhythmicity, and knowledge of the potential for harm to human health, to fully enter the domains of medicine and public health.
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